U.S.EPA - Region 09 Sylvia Quast 1 ** FILED ** Regional Counsel 2 21aUG2018 - 04:15PM 3 Margaret Alkon 4 Assistant Regional Counsel 5 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 6 Region IX 7 75 Hawthorne Street 8 San Francisco, CA 94105 9 alkon.margaret@epa.gov 10 (415) 972-3890 11 12 **UNITED STATES** 13 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 14 **REGION IX** 15 **75 HAWTHORNE STREET** 16 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 17 18 In the matter of: Docket No. FIFRA-09-2018- さんり4) 19 Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc. **CONSENT AGREEMENT**) 20 f/d/b/a Crop Production) and 21 Services Inc., FINAL ORDER PURSUANT TO 22 Respondent. **SECTIONS 22.13 AND 22.18** 23 24

et

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

I. CONSENT AGREEMENT

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc., formerly doing business as Crop Production Services, Inc. ("Respondent") have conferred for the purpose of settlement pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 22.18 and desire to resolve this matter and settle the allegations described herein without a formal hearing. Therefore, without the taking of any evidence or testimony; the making of any argument; or the adjudication of any issue in this matter, and in accordance with 40 C.F.R. 22.13(b), EPA and Respondent agree to settle this matter and consent to the entry of this Consent Agreement and Final Order ("CAFO"). This CAFO simultaneously initiates and concludes this proceeding in accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§22.13(b) and 22.18(b).

A. AUTHORITY AND PARTIES

1. This administrative proceeding for the assessment of a civil administrative penalty is initiated pursuant to section 14(a) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7

- U.S.C. § 136 et seq. ("FIFRA" or the "Act"), and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits at 40 C.F.R. Part 22.
- 2. Complainant is the Assistant Director of the Water and Pesticide Branch, Enforcement Division, EPA Region IX, who has been duly delegated the authority to commence and settle an enforcement action in this matter.
- 3. Respondent is a Delaware corporation that owns, operates, or otherwise controls facilities located in Arizona and central California. Now named Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc., Respondent was doing business as Crop Production Services, Inc. prior to July 1, 2018.

B. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

- 4. Under section 2(s) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §136(s), a *person* is "any individual, partnership, association, corporation, or any organized group of persons whether incorporated or not."
- 5. Under section 2(u) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §136(u), a *pesticide* is, among other things, "any substance or mixture of substances intended for preventing, destroying, repelling, or mitigating any pest."
 - 6. A pesticide is misbranded if:
- (a). its label does not bear the registration number assigned under section 136(e) of FIFRA to each establishment in which it was produced. Section 2(q)(1)(D) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q)(1)(D);
- (b). any word, statement, or other information required by or under the authority of FIFRA to appear on the label or labeling is not prominently placed thereon. Section 2(q)(1)(E) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q)(1)(E); or
- (c). there is not affixed to its container, and to the outside container or wrapper of the retail package, if there be one, through which the required information on the immediate container cannot be clearly read, a label bearing the net weight or measure of the content. Section 2(q)(2)(C)(ii) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q) (2)(C)(ii).
- 7. Under section 2(p)(1) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(p)(1), a *label* is "the written, printed, or graphic matter on, or attached to, the pesticide or device or any of its containers or wrappers."
 - 8. Under section 2(p)(2)(A) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(p)(2)(A), the term labeling means

ship, deliver for shipment, release for shipment, or receive and (having so received) deliver or

offer to deliver."

- 10. Under section 12(a)(1)(E) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(1)(E), it is unlawful for any person in any state to distribute or sell to any person any pesticide that is adulterated or misbranded.
- 11. Under section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(1)(S), it is unlawful for any person to violate any regulation issued under sections 3 or 19 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §§ 136a(a) and 136q.
- 12. Pursuant to FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §§ 136-136y, the EPA Administrator promulgated regulations governing the labeling requirements for pesticides and devices, which are codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 156.
- 13. Pursuant to sections 3, 8, 19 and 25 of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. §§ 136a, 136f, 136q and 136w, the EPA Administrator promulgated regulations pertaining to standards for pesticide containers and pesticide containment structures ("the Container/Containment regulations"), which are codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 165.
- 14. Agricultural pesticide means "any pesticide product labeled for use in or on a farm, forest, nursery, or greenhouse." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 15. Appurtenance means "any equipment or device which is used for the purpose of transferring a pesticide from a stationary pesticide container or to any refillable container, including but not limited to, hoses, fittings, plumbing, valves, gauges, pumps and metering devices." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 16. Containment pad means "any structure that is designed and constructed to intercept and contain pesticides, rinsates, and equipment wash water at a pesticide dispensing area." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 17. Containment structure means "either a secondary containment unit or a containment pad." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.

- 18. Facility means "all buildings, equipment, structures, and other stationary items which are located on a single site or on contiguous or adjacent sites and which are owned or operated by the same person (or by any person who controls, who is controlled by, or who is under common control with such person)." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 19. Establishment means "any site where a pesticidal product, active ingredient, or device is produced, regardless of whether such site is independently owned or operated, and regardless of whether such site is domestic and producing a pesticidal product for export only, or whether the site is foreign and producing any pesticidal product for import into the United States." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 20. Operator means "any person in control of, or having responsibility for, the daily operation of a facility at which a containment structure is located." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 21. Owner means "any person who owns a facility at which a containment structure is required." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 22. Pesticide dispensing area means "an area in which pesticide is transferred out of or into a container." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 23. *Produce* means "to manufacture, prepare, propagate, compound, or process any pesticide, including any pesticide produced pursuant to section 5 of the Act, and any active ingredient or device, or to package, repackage, label, relabel, or otherwise change the container of any pesticide or device." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 24. *Refilling establishment* means "an establishment where the activity of repackaging pesticide product into refillable containers occurs." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 25. Refillable container means "a container that is intended to be filled with pesticide more than once for sale or distribution." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 26. *Refiller* means "a person who engages in the activity of repackaging pesticide product into refillable containers." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 27. Repackage means, for the purposes of this part, "to transfer a pesticide formulation from one container to another without a change in the composition of the formulation, the labeling content, or the product's EPA registration number, for sale or distribution." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
 - 28. Secondary containment unit means "any structure, including rigid diking, that is

1

2

- 4 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

- 29. Stationary pesticide container means "a refillable container that is fixed at a single facility or establishment or, if not fixed, remains at the facility or establishment for at least 30 consecutive days, and that holds pesticide during the entire time." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 30. Transport vehicle means "a cargo-carrying vehicle such as an automobile, van, tractor, truck, semitrailer, tank car, or rail car used for the transportation of cargo by any mode." 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 31. Any registrant, commercial applicator, wholesaler, dealer, retailer, or other distributor who violates any provision of the Act may be assessed a civil penalty of not more than \$7,500 for each violation that occurred after January 12, 2009 through November 2, 2015, or is assessed before August 1, 2016, and not more than \$19,446 for each violation that occurred after November 2, 2015 and is assessed on or after January 15, 2018. Section 14(a)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 136l(a)(1), as amended by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvement Act, 28 U.S.C. §2461 note, Pub. L. 114-74, and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 19.

C. COMPLAINANT'S ALLEGATIONS

- 32. Respondent is a person as that term is defined by section 2(s) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(s), and as such is subject to FIFRA and the regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 33. Respondent is an owner and operator of businesses that are each a facility that is an establishment, as those terms are defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3, located at: 1755 North Broadway
- Avenue, Stockton, California, EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-65 ("Stockton Facility"); 12768 Avenue
- 402, Cutler, California, EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-33 ("Cutler Facility"); 16275 9th Street, Huron,
- California, EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-46 ("Huron Facility"); 210 S Pacific Street, Coolidge, AZ, EPA Est. No. 51896-AZ-2 ("Coolidge Facility"); 13241 Crown Avenue, Hanford, California,
- EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-43 ("Hanford Facility"); 9355 Copus Road, Bakersfield, California,
- EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-31 ("Bakersfield Facility"); 930 Woollomes Avenue, Delano,
- California, EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-34 ("Delano Facility"); and 3135 Brown Road, Santa Maria, California, EPA Est. No. 51896-CA-63 ("Santa Maria Facility").
 - 34. At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent repackaged for distribution and sale

37. Each Facility listed in Paragraph 33 is a *refilling establishment* that *repackages* agricultural pesticides and whose principal business is retail sale, or a business which applies an agricultural pesticide for compensation, as those terms are defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 38. Owners or operators of businesses that (1) apply *agricultural pesticides* for compensation or (2) are refilling establishments and that also have a stationary pesticide container or a pesticide dispensing area must comply with the secondary container requirements of the Container/Containment regulations. 40 C.F.R. § 165.80(b).
- 39. Each Facility listed in Paragraph <u>33</u> has one or more *stationary pesticide container* that must comply with the secondary container requirements of the Container/Containment

tank farm area secondary containment area at the Bakersfield Facility;

- (12) Delano Tanks 20, 21, 44 and 57 located within the combined Delano Spray Oil containment area at the Delano Facility;
- (13) Delano Tanks 51 and 52 located within the Delano Roundup containment area at the Delano Facility; and
- (14) Santa Maria K-Pam and Vapam Tanks located within the Santa Maria containment area at the Santa Maria Facility.
- (C). At all times relevant to this CAFO, each of the tanks listed in Paragraph 39 (A) was a *stationary pesticide container*, as that term is defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3, holding undivided quantities of agricultural pesticides equal to or greater than 500 gallons of liquid pesticide.
- 40. Pesticide dispensing areas are subject to the Container/Containment regulations and must have a containment pad that complies with the requirements of the Containment regulations if any of the following activities occur: (1) refillable containers of agricultural pesticides are emptied, cleaned, or rinsed; (2) agricultural pesticides are dispensed from stationary pesticide containers designed to hold undivided quantities equal or greater than 500 gallons of liquid pesticides or 4.000 pounds of dry pesticides for any purpose; (3) agricultural pesticides are dispensed from a transport vehicle for purposes of filling a refillable container; or (4) agricultural pesticides are dispensed from any other container for the purpose of refilling a refillable container for sale or distribution. 40 C.F.R. § 165.82(a).
- 41. At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent used the following areas to dispense and refill agricultural pesticides, and each is a *pesticide dispensing area* and *containment pad*, as those terms are defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3, and subject to the Container/Containment regulations: the Cutler loading pad at the Cutler Facility; the Huron loading pad at the Huron Facility; Hanford pads 1, 2 and 3 at the Hanford Facility; Bakersfield containment pads 1 and 2 at the Bakersfield Facility; and the Delano Spray Oil loading pad, the Delano Makaze Loading Pad, and the Delano Roundup pesticide dispensing area at the Delano Facility.
- 42. At all times relevant to this CAFO, all containment structures relevant to this CAFO at the Stockton, Cutler, Huron, Hanford, Bakersfield, Delano and Santa Maria Facilities were constructed on or before November 16, 2006 and are each *existing containment structures*, as that term is defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.83(b).
 - 43. Installation began on the Coolidge containment area after November 16, 2006. At all

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 47. On and about May 23, 2013, Stockton Tank 6 holding Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil at the Stockton Facility had a hose or tube running vertically along the exterior of the tank from the top to the bottom showing the level of liquid pesticide held in that tank.
- 48. On and about June 22, 2016, Delano Tanks 20 and 21 holding Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil and Delano Tank 57 holding Makaze each had a hose or tube running vertically along the exterior of the tank from the top to the bottom showing the level of liquid pesticide held in that tank.
- 49. At all times relevant to this CAFO, the tubes running vertically on Stockton Tank 6, and Delano Tanks 20, 21, and 57 are each an external sight gauge, as defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.45(f)(2)(ii).

50. Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S), by having an external sight gauge prohibited by 40 C.F.R. § 165.45(f)(2)(ii) on each of Tank 6 at the Stockton Facility (CA-65) on or about May 23, 2013, and Tanks 20, 21, and 57 at the Delano Facility (CA-34) on and about June 22, 2016.

Counts 5 - 6

Failure to have items required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 165.70(e)(5) and (j)

- 51. Refillers of a pesticide product who are not the registrant of that pesticide product must have all of the following items at the establishment before repackaging a pesticide product into any refillable container for distribution or sale and furnish these records for inspection and copying upon request: (i) the written contract from the pesticide product's registrant; (ii) the pesticide product's label and labeling; (iii) the registrant's written refilling residue removal procedure for the pesticide product; (iv) the registrant's written description of acceptable containers for the pesticide product. 40 C.F.R. §§ 165.70(e)(5) and (j).
- 52. On or about February 4, 2015 at the Huron Facility, Respondent was a *refiller* engaged in *repackaging* of the pesticide products, Roundup PowerMax and Roundup WeatherMax, as those terms are defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3, and did not have and furnish to the inspector the written description of acceptable containers for these pesticide products, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 165.70(e)(5)(iv) and (j).
- 53. On or about March 25, 2015 at the Hanford Facility, Respondent was a *refiller* engaged in *repackaging* of the pesticide products, Trifluralin, Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil, Makaze, and Gramoxone, as those terms are defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3, and did not have and furnish to the inspector the repackaging agreements, residue removal procedures or written description of acceptable containers for these pesticide products, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 165.70(e)(5)(i), (iii), and (iv) and (j).
- 54. Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S), by failing to have and furnish items as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 165.70(e)(5) and (j) on or about February 4, 2015 at the Huron Facility and March 25, 2015 at the Hanford Facility.

Counts 7 - 9

Failure to generate and maintain repackaging records required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.70(j)(2)

55. Each time a refiller who is not the registrant repackages a pesticide product into a

- 56. On or about October 2, 2013 at the Cutler Facility, Respondent failed to generate and maintain repackaging records containing the EPA registration number of the pesticide product Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil distributed or sold in a refillable container, the date of the repackaging, and the serial number or other identifying code of the refillable container, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.70(j)(2).
- 57. On or about March 25, 2015 at the Hanford Facility, Respondent failed to generate and maintain repackaging records containing the EPA registration number of the pesticide product Makaze distributed or sold in a refillable container, the date of the repackaging, and the serial number or other identifying code of the refillable container, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.70(j)(2).
- 58. On or about March 5, 2015 at the Coolidge Facility, Respondent failed to generate and maintain repackaging records containing the EPA registration number of the pesticide product Roundup PowerMax distributed or sold in a refillable container, the date of the repackaging, and the serial number or other identifying code of the refillable container, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.70(j)(2).
- 59. Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S), by failing to have repackaging records containing information as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.70(e)(10) and 165.70(j)(2), on or about October 2, 2013 at the Cutler Facility, March 25, 2015 at the Hanford Facility, and March 5, 2015 at the Coolidge Facility.

Counts 10 - 15

Failure to meet material specifications as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(a)(1)

- 60. Existing containment structures must be liquid-tight with cracks, seams and joints appropriately sealed. 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(a)(1).
- 61. On or about October 2, 2013, the Cutler containment area and the Cutler loading pad had unsealed cracks.

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 70. On or about June 22, 2016, Respondent had two 1,500 gallon tanks holding Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil and two 5000 gallon tanks holding Gavicide 470 Spray Oil at the Delano Facility that were *stationary pesticide containers* subject to the Container/Containment regulations that were being stored on an asphalt roadway.
- 71. On or about June 22, 2016, Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S) by failing to place two tanks holding Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil and two tanks holding Gavicide 470 Spray Oil into secondary containment units that met the material specifications of 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(a)(2), as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.82.

Counts 19 - 21

Failure to protect appurtenances and pesticide containers as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(b)(1)

- 72. Appurtenances and pesticide containers must be protected against damage from operating personnel and moving equipment. 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(b)(1).
- 73. On or about February 4, 2015 at the Huron Facility, hoses that Respondent used to dispense pesticides from the stationary pesticide tanks in the Huron containment area were left unprotected on the Huran loading pad. These hoses were *appurtenances*, as that term is defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3.
- 74. On or about December 10, 2015 at the Bakersfield Facility, Bakersfield Tank 19 and Bakersfield Tank 17 and the appurtenances connected to these pesticide containers, were left unprotected against damage from operating personnel and moving equipment.
- 75. On or about June 22, 2016 at the Delano Facility, four pesticide tanks stored on a roadway described in Paragraph <u>70</u> above and hoses for the pesticide containers in the Delano Spray Oil containment area that are *appurtenances*, as that term is defined by 40 C.F.R. § 165.3, were all left unprotected against damage from operating personnel and moving equipment.
- 76. Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S) by failing to protect appurtenances and pesticide containers against damage from operating personnel and moving equipment as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(b)(1), on or about February 4, 2015 at the Huron Facility, December 10, 2015 at the Bakersfield Facility, and June 22, 2016 at the Delano Facility.

Failure to meet capacity requirements for containment structures as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(c)

- 77. Existing secondary containment units for stationary containers of liquid pesticides must have a capacity of at least 100 percent of the volume of the largest stationary pesticide container plus the volume displaced by other containers and appurtenances within the unit. 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(c)(1).
- 78. On or about October 2, 2013, the largest pesticide tank in the Cutler containment area held approximately 11,300 gallons and the Cutler containment area had a capacity less than 11,300 gallons.
- 79. On or about April 23, 2014 and June 22, 2016, the largest pesticide tank in the Delano Spray Oil containment area held approximately 20,304 gallons, and the displacement of other tanks was approximately 5,878 gallons and the Delano Spray Oil containment area had a capacity under 21,000 gallons.
- 80. On or about April 23, 2014 and June 22, 2016, the largest pesticide tank in the Delano Roundup containment area held approximately 4,176 gallons and the Delano Roundup containment area had a capacity of under 4,176 gallons.
- 81. Respondent failed to meet capacity requirements as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.87(c)(1) on or about October 2, 2013 for the Cutler containment area, on or about April 23, 2014 and June 22, 2016 for the Delano Spray Oil containment area, and on or about April 23, 2014 and June 22, 2016 for the Delano Roundup containment area.
- 82. Existing containment pads must have a holding capacity of at least 750 gallons in pesticide dispensing areas which have a pesticide container or pesticide-holding equipment with a volume of 750 gallons or greater, and at least 100 percent of the volume of the largest pesticide container or pesticide-holding equipment used on the pad in pesticide dispensing areas which do not have a pesticide container or pesticide-holding equipment with a volume of at least 750 gallons. 40 C.F.R. §§ 165.87(c)(2) and (3).
- 83. On or about October 2, 2013, Respondent used the Cutler pad for pesticide holding equipment (trucks) with a volume of 750 gallons or greater and the Cutler pad had a capacity under 750 gallons.

25

26

27

28

29

. 9

Failure to ensure that pesticide spills and leaks on or in any containment structure are collected and recovered as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.90(a)(2)

- 94. The owner or operator of a pesticide containment structure must ensure that pesticide spills and leaks on or in any containment structure are collected and recovered in a manner that ensures protection of human health and the environment (including surface water and groundwater) and maximum practicable recovery of the pesticide spilled or leaked. Cleanup must occur no later than the end of the day on which pesticides have been spilled or leaked except in circumstances where a reasonable delay would significantly reduce the likelihood or severity of adverse effects to human health or the environment. 40 C.F.R. § 165.90(a)(2).
- 95. On or about March 5, 2015, Respondent had not cleaned up old pesticide spills and leaks in the Coolidge containment area by the end of the day and the Coolidge containment area floor also had fresh pesticide spills and leaks that were not being collected and recovered.
- 96. On or about March 25, 2015, Respondent had not cleaned up old pesticide spills and leaks in Hanford containment structures by the end of the day.
- 97. On or about June 22, 2016, Respondent had not cleaned up old pesticide spills and leaks in the Delano containment areas by the end of the day.
- 98. Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S) by failing to ensure that pesticide spills and leaks on or in any containment structure are collected and recovered in a manner that ensures protection of human health and the environment and maximum practicable recovery of the pesticide spilled or leaked, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.90(a)(2) on or about March 5, 2015 at the Coolidge Facility, March 25, 2015 at the Hanford Facility, and June 22, 2016 at the Delano Facility.

Counts 36 - 37

Failure to seal cracks and gaps in containment structures as required by 40 C.F.R. \S 165.90(b)(2)

99. The owner or operator of a pesticide containment structure must initiate repair to any areas showing visible signs of damage and seal any cracks and gaps in the containment structure or appurtenances with material compatible with the pesticide being stored or dispensed no later

than the end of the day on which damage is noticed and complete repairs within a timeframe that is reasonable.

- 100. On or about April 23, 2014, the Delano Spray Oil loading pads had unsealed cracks. Between April 23, 2014 and June 22, 2016, Respondent's records of inspection of the Delano Facility document cracks in containment structures but no repairs are documented.
 - 101. On or about June 22, 2016, the Delano Makaze loading pad had unsealed cracks.
 - 102. On or about June 22, 2016, the Delano spray oil loading pad had unsealed cracks.
- 103. On or about June 22, 2016, Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S) by failing to seal cracks and gaps in each of the Delano Makaze loading pad and the Delano Spray Oil loading pad no later than the end of the day on which damage is noticed, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.90(b)(2).

Counts 38 - 40

Failure to maintain records as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.95(a)

- 104. Facility owners or operators subject to the requirements of the Containment regulations must maintain, and furnish for inspection and copying, records of inspection and maintenance for each containment structure and for each stationary pesticide container and its appurtenances, and must include the name of the person conducting the inspection or maintenance, date the inspection or maintenance was conducted, conditions noted, and specific maintenance performed, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.95(a).
- 105. On or about December 10, 2015, Respondent did not maintain records for each containment structure of the Bakersfield South tank farm, the Bakersfield East tank farm, Bakersfield containment pads 1 and 2, and for each stationary pesticide container and its appurtenances that identified the conditions noted and specific maintenance performed as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.95(a).
- 106. On or about March 25, 2015, Respondent not maintain records Hanford containment areas 1 and 2 and Hanford pads 1 and 2 and each stationary pesticide container and its appurtenances that identified the conditions noted and specific maintenance performed as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.95(a).
- 107. On or about June 22, 2016, Respondent did not maintain records for each of the Delano Spray Oil containment area, Delano Roundup containment area, Delano Spray Oil

loading pad, Delano Mazake loading pad, Delano Roundup pesticide dispensing area, and each stationary pesticide container and its appurtenances that identified the conditions noted and specific maintenance performed as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.95(a).

108. Respondent violated section 12(a)(2)(S) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(2)(S) by failing to maintain records as required by 40 C.F.R. § 165.95(a) on or about December 10, 2015 at the Bakersfield Facility, March 25, 2015 at the Hanford Facility, and June 22, 2016 at the Delano Facility.

Counts 41 - 52

Distribution and Sale of Misbranded Pesticides

- 109. When pesticide products are stored in bulk containers, whether mobile or stationary, which remain in the custody of the user, a copy of the label or labeling, including all appropriate directions for use, must be securely attached to the container in the immediate vicinity of the discharge control valve. 40 C.F.R. § 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B).
- (a) The label includes marking the producing establishment registration number preceded by the phrase "EPA Est.", of the final establishment at which the product was produced. 40 C.F.R. § 156.10(f).
- (b) The label includes marking the net contents as prescribed in 40 C.F.R. § 156.10(d). 40 C.F.R. § 156.10(a)(1)(iii). Variation above minimum content or around an average is permissible only to the extent that it represents deviation unavoidable in good manufacturing practice. Variation below a stated minimum is not permitted. 40 C.F.R. § 156.10(d)(6). For a pesticide product packaged in a refillable container, an appropriately sized area on the label may be left blank to allow the net weight to be marked in by the refiller prior to distribution or sale of the pesticide, but the refiller must ensure that the net contents statement appears on the label. 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(d)(7) and 165.70(i).
- (c) The label includes identification of container types as nonrefillable or refillable with the statements required by 40 C.F.R. § 156.140.
- 110. On or about May 23, 2013, Respondent's failure to attach to Stockton Tank 6 a copy of the label for Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil that identified the net contents and the establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), 156.10(f), and 156.10(d),

copy of the label for Prowl H2O that included the container type statements required by 40 C.F.R. § 156.140 constitutes misbranding, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 4

5 6

U.S.C. § 136(q).

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

112. On or about October 2, 2013, Respondent's failure to attach to Cutler Tank 20 a copy of the label for Gavicide Super 90 that identified the net contents and establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), 156.10(f), and 156.10(d), constitutes misbranding, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

113. On or about October 2, 2013, Respondent's failure to attach to Cutler Tank 21 a copy of the label for Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil that identified the net contents and establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), 156.10(f), and 156.10(d), constitutes misbranding, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

114. On or about February 4, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Huron Tank 1 a copy of the label for Roundup PowerMax that identified the net contents of the amount of pesticide last put into the tank, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), and 156.10(d), constitutes misbranding, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

115. On or about February 4, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Huron Tank 5 a copy of the label for Roundup WeatherMax that identified the net contents of the amount of pesticide last put into the tank, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), and 156.10(d), constitutes misbranding, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

116. On or about February 4, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Huron Tank 2 a copy of the label for Makaze that identified the establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B) and 156.10(f), constitutes misbranding, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

117. On or about March 25, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Hanford Tank 1 a copy of the label for Trifluralin that identified the net contents of the amount of pesticide last put into the tank and the EPA establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), 156.10(f), and 156.10(d), constitutes *misbranding*, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

118. On or about March 25, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Hanford Narrow Range Tank a copy of the label for Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil that identified the net contents of the amount of pesticide last put into the tank, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), and 156.10(d), constitutes *misbranding*, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

119. On or about December 10, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Bakersfield Tank 28 a copy of the label for Sectagon 42 that identified the establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B) and 156.10(f), constitutes *misbranding*, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

120. On or about December 10, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Bakersfield Tank 30 a copy of the label for Sectagon K54 that identified the establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced, as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B) and 156.10(f), constitutes *misbranding*, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

121. On or about December 10, 2015, Respondent's failure to attach to Bakersfield Tanks 13 and 14 a copy of the label for Vapam HL that identified the net contents of the amount of pesticide last put into the tank and the EPA establishment number of the final establishment at which the pesticide was last produced as required by 40 C.F.R. §§ 156.10(a)(1)(iii), 156.10(a)(4)(ii)(B), 156.10(f), and 156.10(d), constitutes *misbranding*, as that term is defined by section 2(q) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136(q).

122. Respondent violated section 12(a)(1)(E) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. § 136j(a)(1)(E), by distributing or selling misbranded pesticides on or about the following dates: Narrow Range 415 Spray Oil on May 23, 2013, October 2, 2013, and March 25, 2015, Prowl H2O on May 23, 2013,

Gavicide Super 90 on October 2, 2013, Roundup PowerMax, Roundup WeatherMax and Makaze 1 on February 4, 2015, Trifluralin on March 25, 2015, and Sectagon 42, Sectagon K54 and Vapam 2 HL on December 10, 2015. 3 4 D. RESPONDENT'S ADMISSIONS 123. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2), and for the purpose of this proceeding, 5 Respondent (i) admits that EPA has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this CAFO and over 6 Respondent; (ii) neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained in Section 7 I.C of this CAFO; (iii) consents to any and all conditions specified in this CAFO, (iv) agrees to 8 pay, and consents to the assessment of, the civil administrative penalty under Section I.E of this 9 CAFO; (v) waives any right to contest the allegations contained in Section I.C of this CAFO; and 10 (vi) waives the right to appeal the proposed final order contained in this CAFO. 11 12 E. CIVIL ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY 124. Respondent consents to the assessment of a joint and severable civil administrative 13 penalty in the amount of THREE HUNDRED THIRTY-ONE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-THREE DOLLARS (\$331,353) as final settlement and complete satisfaction of the civil claims against Respondent arising from the facts alleged in Section I.C of the CAFO and under the Act. a. Respondent shall pay the civil penalty within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this CAFO_by one of the methods listed below: i. Respondent may pay online through the Department of the Treasury website at www.pay.gov. In the Search Public Form field, enter SFO 1.1, click EPA Miscellaneous Payments - Cincinnati Finance Center, and complete the SFO Form Number 1.1. ii. Respondent may pay by remitting a certified or cashier's check, including the name and docket number of this case, for the amount, payable to "Treasurer, United States of America," and sent as follows: Regular Mail: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency PO Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000 Overnight/Signed Receipt Confirmation Mail: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ATTN Box 979077

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 29

30 31

32

33

34 35

1	1005 Convention Plaza
2	Mail Station SL-MO-C2GL
3	St. Louis, MO 63101
4	
5	iii. Respondent may also pay the civil penalty using any method, or
6	combination of methods, provided on the following website:
7 8	
9	http://www2.epa.gov/financial/additional-instructions-making-payments-epa
10	If clarification regarding a particular mathed of
11	If clarification regarding a particular method of payment remittance is needed, contact the EPA's Cincinnati Finance Center at (513) 487-2091.
12	1 mance Center at (313) 487-2091.
13	b. Respondent shall identify each and every payment with the name and docket
14	number of this case; and
15	c. Within 24 hours of payment, Respondent shall provide EPA with proof of
16	payment ("proof of payment" means, as applicable, a copy of the check, confirmation of credit
17	card or debit card payment, confirmation of wire or automated clearinghouse transfer, and any
18	other information required to demonstrate that payment has been made according to EPA
19	requirements, in the amount due, and identified with the name and docket number of this case),
20	including proof of the date payment was made, along with a transmittal letter, indicating
21	Respondent's names, the case title, and docket number, to the following addresses:
22	Regional Hearing Clerk
23	Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-1)
24	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
25	75 Hawthorne Street
26	San Francisco, CA 94105
27 28	D. I. G. CO.
20 29	Panah Stauffer
30	Enforcement Division (ENF 3-3)
31	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street
32	San Francisco, CA 94105
33	Sun Francisco, CA 94103
34	125. In the event that Respondent fail to pay the civil administrative penalty assessed
35	above by its due date, Respondent shall pay to EPA a stipulated penalty in the amount of FIVE
36	HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$500) for each day that payment is late in addition to the unpaid
37	balance of the penalty assessed above. Upon EPA's written demand, this stipulated penalty shall

immediately become due and payable.

126. If Respondent fails to pay the penalty assessed by this CAFO in full by its due date, the entire unpaid balance and accrued interest shall become immediately due and owing. Respondent's tax identification numbers may be used for collecting or reporting any delinquent monetary obligation arising from this CAFO (see 31 U.S.C. § 7701). If payment is not received within thirty (30) calendar days, interest, penalty and administrative costs will accrue from the effective date of this CAFO as described at 40 CFR §13.11. In addition, if this matter is referred to another department or agency (e.g., the Department of Justice, the Internal Revenue Service), that department or agency may assess its own administrative costs, in addition to EPA's administrative costs, for handling and collecting Respondent's overdue debt. Respondent's failure to pay in full the civil administrative penalty by its due date also may also lead to any or all of the following actions:

- a. The debt being referred to a credit reporting agency, a collection agency, or to the Department of Justice for filing of a collection action in the appropriate United States District Court. 40 C.F.R. §§ 13.13, 13.14, and 13.33. In any such collection action, the validity, amount, and appropriateness of the assessed penalty and of this CAFO shall not be subject to review.
- b. The debt being collected by administrative offset (i.e., the withholding of money payable by the United States to, or held by the United States for, a person to satisfy the debt the person owes the Government), which includes, but is not limited to, referral to the Internal Revenue Service for offset against income tax refunds. 40 C.F.R. Part 13, Subparts C and H.
- c. EPA may (i) suspend or revoke Respondent's licenses or other privileges; (ii) suspend or disqualify Respondent from doing business with EPA or engaging in programs EPA sponsors or funds; (iii) convert the method of payment under a grant or contract from an advanced payment to a reimbursement method; or (iv) revoke a grantee's or contractor's letter-of-credit. 40 C.F.R. §§ 13.17.

F. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

127. In executing this CAFO, Respondent certifies that the information it has supplied concerning this matter was at the time of submission, and is at the time of signature to this CAFO, truthful, accurate, and complete; and that Respondent has corrected the violations alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO. Under 18 U.S.C. § 1001, submitting false or misleading information

can result in significant penalties, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing submission of such information.

G. RETENTION OF RIGHTS, BINDING EFFECT, ETC.

- 128. This Consent Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Respondent and EPA. Full payment of the civil penalty and any applicable interest charges or late fees or penalties as set forth in this CAFO shall constitute full settlement and satisfaction of civil penalty liability against Respondent for the violations alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO.
- 129. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(c), this CAFO only resolves Respondent's liabilities for federal civil penalties for the violations and facts specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO. Nothing in this CAFO is intended to or shall be construed to resolve: (i) any civil liability for violations of any provision of any federal, state, or local law, statute, regulation, rule, ordinance, or permit not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO; or (ii) any criminal liability. EPA specifically reserves any and all authorities, rights, and remedies available to it (including, but not limited to, injunctive or other equitable relief or criminal sanctions) to address any violation of this CAFO or any violation not specifically alleged in Section I.C of this CAFO. This CAFO does not exempt, relieve, modify, or affect in any way Respondent's duties to comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, and permits.
- 130. Except as set forth in Paragraph <u>126</u> above, EPA and Respondent shall each bear its own attorney's fees, costs, and disbursements in this action.
- 131. For the purposes of state and federal income taxation, Respondent shall not claim a deduction for any civil penalty payment made pursuant to this CAFO.
- 132. This CAFO will be available to the public and does not contain any confidential business information.
- 133. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.18(b)(3) and 22.31(b), the effective date of this CAFO shall be the date on which the accompanying Final Order, having been signed by the Regional Judicial Officer, is filed.
- 134. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding on Respondent and on Respondent's officers, directors, employees, agents, servants, authorized representatives, successors, and assigns.

1	135. The undersigned representatives of each party to this Consent Agreement certify
2	that each is duly authorized by the party whom he or she represents to enter into the terms and
3	conditions of this Consent Agreement and Final Order and bind that party to it.
4 5 6	NUTRIEN AG SOLUTIONS, INC., f/d/b/a CROP PRODUCTION SERVICES, INC.:
7 8 9	Date: 1-3-18 By: Shani Kuhlmann Name: Sherri Kuhlmann
10 11 12	Name: Sherri Kuhlmann
13 14 15	Title: \(\ .
16	
17 18	
19 20 21	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:
22	Date: 8/20/20/8 By:
24 25	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ZABETH BERG $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$
26 27	Acting Assistant Director Water & Pesticides Branch
28 29 30	Enforcement Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
31 32	

II. FINAL ORDER

Date: 08/20 / 18

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Consent Agreement and Final Order be entered and that Respondent shall pay a civil administrative penalty in the amount of **THREE HUNDRED**THIRTY-ONE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED FIFTY-THREE DOLLARS (\$331,353) in accordance with the terms set forth in the Consent Agreement.

Steven L. Jawgiel

Regional Judicial Officer U.S. EPA, Region IX

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the original of the fully executed Consent Agreement and Final Order, (**Docket No FIFRA-09-2018- OOV**) was filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. EPA, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, and that a true and correct copy of the same was sent to the following parties:

A copy was mailed via CERTIFIED MAIL to:

Sherri Kuhlmann Vice President and Chief Counsel Nutrien Ag Solutions, Inc. 3005 Rocky Mountain Avenue Loveland, CO 80538

CERTIFIED MAIL NUMBER:

7015 0640 0001 1121 8311

An additional copy was hand-delivered to the following U.S. EPA case attorney:

Margaret Alkon Assistant Regional Counsel (ORC-2) U.S. EPA, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. EPA, Region IX